Revitalize! 2010 Heritage Canada Foundation Conference Katarzyna Blaszak, M.A.Sc. Candidate Ryerson University

TOWARDS SUSTAINABILITY:

PRIORITIZING RETROFIT OPTIONS FOR TORONTO'S SINGLE-FAMILY HOMES

WHAT ARE YOUR RETROFIT PRIORITIES?

Defining the Problem

The homeowner's dilemma:

I have house x, should I do retrofit y or z?

Objectives:

- 1) Define: effects, retrofits, houses
- 2) Measure: environmental effects
- 3) Compare: develop a preliminary tool with which to rate retrofit options for the archetypes

Must define:

- Environmental effects
- Retrofit Options
- House Archetypes

Eight Summary Measures

- Primary energy consumption
- Weighted resource use
- Global warming potential
- Acidification potential
- Human health respiratory effects
- Eutrophication potential
- Ozone depletion potential
- Smog potential

Must define:

- Environmental effects
- Retrofit Options
- House Archetypes

- Insulation
 - Location
 - Amount
 - Type (fiberglass batt, blown-in cellulose, Icynene, polyurethane)
- Ventilation
 - Air leakage rate reductions
- Window replacement
 - Sealed, double-glazed units
 - Heat mirror units
- Door replacement

House Archetypes

Century

- Doublewythe brick walls
- L-shaped
- Finished attic

Wartime

- Light woodframed walls
- rectangular
- Singlestorey

70s OBC

- Light woodframed walls
- rectangular
- Built to 1975
 OBC

Modern

- Light woodframed walls
- L-shaped
- Attached garage, walk-out basement

22 22

http://www.realtor.ca/index.aspx?cul=1

WHICH RETROFIT OPTIONS DO YOU THINK WILL BE BEST?



• Measure:

- Operational Effects
 - HOT2000
 - Find parameter with largest portion of heat loss, apply retrofit, iterate
 - Target 100kWh/m2
- Embodied Effects
 - ATHENA Impact Estimator

• Compare:

- Develop preliminary ranking equation
- Preliminary testing

 $SM_{total} = Y \times (Electricity \times Factor_{electricity} + Natural Gas \times Factor_{natural gas}) + BSF \times SM_{embodied}$

$SM_{ratio} = Case 1/Case B$

Retrofit Ranking

 $= (W_{1\times}SM_{1} + W_{2} \times SM_{2} + W_{3} \times SM_{3} + W_{4} \times SM_{4} + W_{5} \times SM_{5} + W_{6} \times SM_{6} + W_{7} \times SM_{7} + W_{8} \times SM_{8})/8$

Results: Archetypes





Results: Operationally Equal



Relative Portion of Summary Measure Produced [%]

Results: Operationally Unequal



Example: Smog Potential

Step 1

Total kg NOx eq. produced

	COMBO+CONT.	2x6 BATT MAX			
Natural Gas [m3]	3418.6	3251.1			
Factor	5.94E-04	5.94E-04			
Total =	2.0	1.9			
Electricity [kWh]	11582.9	11394.8			
Factor	1.06E-04	1.06E-04			
Total =	1.2	1.2			
Years Operating	60	60			
Operational Eff. =	<u>195.4</u>	188.3			
BSF	1	1			
Embodied Eff. =	19.25	5.15			
Total Effect =	214.7	193.4			

 $SM_{total} = Y \times (Electricity \times Factor_{electricity} + Natural Gas \times Factor_{natural gas})$ $+ BSF \times SM_{embodied}$

Example: Smog Potential

Step 2

Determine summary measure ratio

	COMBO+ CONT.	2x6 BATT MAX
Case 1	214.7	
Case 2	120	193.4
Case B	214.7	214.7
Ratio =	1.0	0.9

Example: Ranked Retrofits

Step 3

Repeat for all other summary measures

Step 4

Combine summary measures

No. Years	COMBO+CONT.	2x6 BATT MAX				
1	0.95	0.77				
10	0.99	0.83				
20	1	0.84				
60	1	0.85				
80	1	0.86				
100	1	0.86				

Retrofit Ranking

 $= (W_{1\times}SM_{1} + W_{2} \times SM_{2} + W_{3} \times SM_{3} + W_{4} \times SM_{4} + W_{5} \times SM_{5} + W_{6} \times SM_{6} + W_{7} \times SM_{7} + W_{8} \times SM_{8})/8$

Results: Retrofit Ranking

• Limitations:

- High sensitivity to large differences in embodied effects
- High sensitivity to weighting of embodied effects
- Include more house styles and locations
- Include more retrofit options and a wider variety of materials

Results: Retrofit Ranking

Onclusions

- Low-energy retrofits are possible
- Recommended retrofit depends on house style
- Some common retrofits have limited environmental returns



More information at: www.ryerson.ca/richman/research

	Air Sealing Measures										
House Archetypes	Non-invasive: caulking, sealing baseboards, weatherstripping doors, attics, etc. Anything that doesn't involve damaging finishes		Semi- invasive: same as non-invasive plus removing some drywall to access sill plates, etc.		Exterior/Interior: rigid insulation on exterior taped and sealed somehow to remainder of air barrier, tyvek house wrap, poly etc.		Cellulose: dense-pack cellulose into all applicable cavities		Foam: full gut and application of Icynene with attention paid to air sealing		
					no addition air sealir	al	combined with semi- invasive	no additional air sealing	combined with semi- invasive	no additional air sealing	combined with semi- invasive
Century: double-wythe brick, 2 storey plus finished attic	10-33	20	30-50	40	30	30	45 40-65	30	3 (75%) 50	35 n/a	2 2-3ACH
Wartime Bungalow: wood-framed, Wartime Housing Limited bungalow	10-25	15	22-40	30	33	30	40 33-42	30 25-35	3 (60%)	35 n/a	2 2-3ACH
70's OBC: 2-storey, rectangular home built to 1975 OBC	10-20	12	15-28	24	33	20	35 40	20 19	3 (50%) 30-40	25	2
Modern: 3-storey, rectangular, attached garage, built to 2005 OBC	0	5	15-30	15	15-30	10	30 17-66	10	2.75 (10%)	15	2

Ceiling Heat Loss

Surface area ratios

- Wartime example
 - 1:1.3 ceilings to walls
 - 1:2.3 ceilings to foundation

Insulation levels

- Wartime example
 - Default is 3.7RSI
 - When lowered to match walls (1.5RSI) portion of heat loss through ceiling doubles to 12.4%

Icynene

• ASTM E2178

- 2x6" wall assembly = 0.01 L/s*m2
- Requires substrate removal
 - Damages closed-cell surface

• ASTM E283

• 3.25" of foam = 0.0080 L/s*m2